

**To:** Thomas, Lorne W CIV[Lorne.W.Thomas@uscg.mil]; Chris Wiley[Chris.Wiley@dfo-mpo.gc.ca]  
**Cc:** Korleski, Christopher[korleski.christopher@epa.gov]; Schardt, James[schardt.james@epa.gov]  
**From:** Schardt, James  
**Sent:** Thur 5/12/2016 3:13:45 PM  
**Subject:** RE: For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Thanks Lorne and Chris W for the PRP edits.

Just to clarify --- and this is indeed just a technicality --- under the GLWQA we've defined the Annex Subcommittees as only the GLEC members, so the fact that you have non-GLEC members (industry reps) means you have an extended subcommittee. Most annexes functionally combine them, having one single call, meetings where everyone attends, etc.

-j

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas, Lorne W CIV [mailto:Lorne.W.Thomas@uscg.mil]  
 Sent: Wednesday, May 11, 2016 7:47 AM  
 To: Korleski, Christopher <korleski.christopher@epa.gov>  
 Cc: Schardt, James <schardt.james@epa.gov>; Chris Wiley <Chris.Wiley@dfo-mpo.gc.ca>  
 Subject: RE: For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Chris/Jamie:

Conferred with Chris Wiley. He is good with my feedback but pointed out that in Figure 2, "The 2012 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement Implementation at a Glance", the chart indicates we have an extended Subcommittee when none actually exists for Annex 5.

Also, how important is it we have the logos from our Subcommittee members listed below? Wouldn't we have to contact each to receive permission to use them? Also, our state rep slots are all vacant.

Canadian CG Rep (empty)

Jim Weakley  
 President, Lake Carriers Association

Kurt Jones  
 President Canadian Shipowners Association

Sonia Simard  
 Director of Policy & Govt Relations  
 Shipping Federation of Canada

State of Wisconsin DNR Rep (empty)

James Perttula  
 Manager Goods Movement Office  
 Ontario Ministry of Transportation

Carolyn Juneman

Office of Environment  
Maritime Administration

State of Indiana DEM Rep (empty)

Lorne W. Thomas  
External Affairs Division  
USCG Ninth District (de)

-----Original Message-----

From: Thomas, Lorne W CIV  
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2016 1:07 PM  
To: 'Korleski, Christopher'  
Cc: Frederick, Jamie C CDR  
Subject: RE: For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Chris,

Are we supposed to comment without seeking input from our Canadian Co-Leads?

WRT Annex 5, I have added comments, answered questions and proposed edits (as suggested) in the attached. I would feel much better if I could share with Chris Wiley given the high level of review these documents get within Transport Canada...

Thoughts?

Lorne W. Thomas  
External Affairs Division  
USCG Ninth District (de)

-----Original Message-----

From: Korleski, Christopher [mailto:korleski.christopher@epa.gov]  
Sent: Friday, May 06, 2016 11:03 PM  
To: Carney, Wendy; Guerriero, Margaret; Hyde, Tinka; Thomas, Lorne W CIV; Todd Turner; Lynn Lewis; Norm Granneman (nggranne@usgs.gov); Doug Kluck; Horvatin, Paul  
Cc: Murphy, Elizabeth; Grams, Bradley; Smith, Ted; Martig, Anton (Tony); Klevs, Mardi; Wortman, Santina; Nettesheim, Todd; Rodriguez, Karen; Schardt, James; Barnes, Edlynzia; Hinchey, Elizabeth; Laplante, Elizabeth; Luckey, Frederick; Davis, Cameron; Torchia, Carla (EC/EC); Korleski, Christopher  
Subject: [Non-DoD Source] For Your Prompt Review - Draft GLWQA Progress Report of the Parties

Hello U.S. Annex Co-Leads:

In the interests of time, I am disregarding protocol and niceties and respectfully requesting that you review (and revise as appropriate) by no later than c.o.b. Thursday 5/11, your respective chapters of the attached draft Progress Report of the Parties. The secretariat is flogging itself to get a draft out to the GLEC members well in advance of the GLEC meeting, and we are actually very close, thanks to your efforts with respect to the initial PRP chapter drafts.

A little guidance:

\* Please feel free to review and comment on as much of the draft PRP as you like, but what we're really looking for is your review of your individual Annex chapters. The Secretariat will take the lead on the introductory chapters.

\* When you look at your chapter, please note that it has been reviewed by the Secretariat, and you may see comments, questions, and/or requests for simplification, technical clarifications, or elimination of jargon. It is these items that we would really like you to focus on. All things considered, the number of comments in each chapter is pretty small.

\* Don't worry about formatting. It's the text that we're asking for your help on.

\* If a comment makes no sense to you, please call, write, telegraph, send smoke signals, use semaphore flags ... just contact me or Jamie Schardt.

Finally, I am blown away by how much work we've accomplished and the really good story told by the PRP.

I'll be on deck come Monday morning and ready to provide whatever assistance I can. I know I'm being a pain in the ...

Thanks.

Chris